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Abstract: A synthetic, fault-free gmelinite (GME) zeolite is prepared using a specific organic structure-directing agent (OSDA), cis-3,5-dimethylpiperidinium. The cis-isomers align in the main 12-membered ring (MR) channel of GME. Trans-isomer OSDA leads to the small-pore zeolite SSZ-39 with the OSDA in its cages. Data from N₂-physisorption and rotation electron diffraction provide evidence for the openness of the 12 MR channel in the GME 12 × 8 × 8 pore architecture and the absence of stacking faults, respectively. CIT-9 is hydrothermally stable when K⁺-exchanged, while in the absence of exchange, the material transforms into an aluminous AFI-zeolite. The process of this phase-change was followed by in situ variable temperature powder X-ray diffraction. CIT-9 has the highest Si/Al ratio reported for GME, and along with its good porosity, opens the possibility of using GME in a variety of applications including catalysis.

Zeolites and other microporous molecular sieves continue to find new uses in catalysis, sorption, and specialty applications.[1] Besides their dominant presence in petrochemistry,[2] their potential in the conversion of renewables[3] or gas is huge,[4] and often a single framework excels at a specific task. Although new structures are being reported frequently, for some long-known topologies, no effective synthesis has been reported yet. Natural occurring gmelinite, as well synthetic aluminosilicates with the GME topology, nearly always display stacking faults (CHA intergrowths) blocking the main channel and thus limiting porosity. The search for fault-free, synthetic GME has been on for 40 years because the framework is a three-dimensional 12 × 8 × 8 channel system with promise for relevant hydrocarbon chemistries, for example, hexane isomerization and molecular traffic control (compare to LTL).[5] Using a cationic dabco-polymer as OSDA, Mobil presented a new route to synthetic GME in 1978.[5] Later, Chiyoda and Davis reported a hydrothermal interzeolite conversion route from zeolite Y (induced by Sr²⁺), and benchmarked faulting via electron diffraction and sorption, against both dabco-GME and gmelinite.[6] All materials showed faulting to some extent, even dabco-GME, that showed a micropore volume (N₂-adsorption, NA'-form) there of only 0.055 cm³/g.[6] We here present a convenient new method, based on a straightforward OSDA, that is, cis-3,5-dimethylpiperidinium hydroxide, that produces fault-free GME zeolites, denoted CIT-9, with high porosity of over 0.17 cm³/g⁻¹. Moreover, a fair comparison with dabco-GME (0.12 cm³/g⁻¹) made in our hands, and Sr-GME, confirms the absence of faulting in CIT-9 while some disorder is present in all other known GME zeolites.

Earlier, we reported the role of geometric cis/trans-isomerism of dimethylpiperidinium-derived OSDAs in the synthesis of zeolite SSZ-39, a useful small-pore zeolite (AEI) with large cages.[7] It was shown that cis-3,5-dimethylpiperidinium and different mixtures of cis/trans-3,5-dimethylpiperidinium, and even cis-2,6-dimethylpiperidinium could direct toward SSZ-39.[7,8] In this work, by increasing the OSDA concentration in very similar syntheses and using only the pure cis-3,5 isomer, GME zeolites were prepared (Figure 1A, upper trace). Remarkably, the competition between AEI and GME was influenced by the concentration of the synthesis mixture. While keeping all parameters constant but adding less water, that is, going from bottom (AEI) to top in Figure 1A, GME turns out to be the dominant zeolite grown. The difference in getting pure GME or pure AEI is the molar H₂O/Si ratio going from 20 to 35. Although it is hard to pinpoint this dilution effect on a specific compound in the synthesis mixture, cross-parameter experiments (Table S1 in the Supporting Information) showed that the concentration of OSDA is the most prominent factor determining the type of zeolite, as a phase-selectivity versus concentration plot indicates (Figure 1B). The sigmoidal plot confirms the unusually sensitive phase-selectivity in a case where both
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Figure 1. A) Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) of final product with cis-3,5 OSDA and varying water content. B) Relative GME selectivity with respect to AEI from major reflections in A and Figure S3 in function of OSDA concentration. C) 0.47 M synthesis with 48/52 mixture of cis/trans-3,5-OSDA (green dot in B).
products are structure-directed by the same OSDA and also retain this organic in the structure [either in pores (GME) or cages (AEI)]. A detailed synthetic account is found in the Supporting Information (Sections 3.1–3.4, Table S2, and Figures S1–S4).

Besides remarkable concentration dependence within the cis-isomer series, the synthesis of CIT-9 is very geometric isomer-sensitive as the presence of trans-3,5-OSDA leads to SSZ-39 even at higher OSDA concentration (Figure 1C). The latter is in line with recent AEI studies, where OSDA mixtures high in trans were crystallizing significantly faster than cis ones.[7,8] Here, the large effect, that is, an entirely different type of zeolite rather than a different rate, for only a small molecular change in the OSDA is remarkable. Molecular modelling calculations firmly support these experiments, and offer an explanation for the results obtained. Distinctly lower energies and a more snug fit of 2 cis-OSDAs, opposed to trans, are found in the unit cell of GME (Figure 2).

The excellent fit of the cis-molecules, visually evident looking down in the 12MR channel of GME (Figures 2A, S5), results in a large 3 kJ mol⁻¹ difference in stabilization energy with the trans-isomer (Figures 2C,D). Usually, 2 kJ mol⁻¹ differences between chemically-different OSDA and a specific framework are considered sufficient to support experimentally diverging syntheses.[9] Here, the larger difference, caused only by cis/trans isomerism is unprecedented in the zeolite literature.[10] The molecular modeling provides a further clue into the selectivity of the cis-OSDA for both GME and AEI, respectively in concentrated and dilute conditions. The energies are most favorable for GME using two organics per unit cell, instead of less, implying OSDAs closely line up in the OSDA. Some disorder is evident in Sr-GME (Figures 3B,C). Both the latter and gmelinite are known to be heavily faulted and this is even visible in classic PXRD (Figure S11).

To assess porosity, both dabco-GME and CIT-9 were subjected to a range of thermal treatments prior to N₂-physorption. It became apparent that after calcination (580°C) to remove OSDA, both GME materials had transformed into another phase, the AFI zeolite, with similar diffraction distances. Literature documents this for both dabco-GME[12] and gmelinite.[13] Allert et al. for example, studied dehydration of the mineral and noted AFI formation above 300°C.[14] In case of synthetic materials, OSDA molecules are still present in the pores during heating before they combust. To this point, the mechanism of the GME to AFI transformation has not been studied. A custom cell allowed PXRD to be tracked under heating (Figure 4A, S12) to study the thermal behavior of CIT-9. The dataset consistently shows that reflections relating to the a and b-axes of the GME unit cell shift to the left, indicating expansion along a and b (e.g. 210 and 110) while the c-axis shrinks (right shift of 002), from 30°C to 230°C. Pure AFI is found from

Figure 2. A,B) Commensurate fit of two cis-3,5-OSDA molecules in the 12MR channel of GME. C) trans-3,5 isomer fit. D) OSDAs and resulting energies.

Figure 3. RED showing A) no disorder in CIT-9; B) some disorder in dabco-GME along c; and C) a lot of disorder along c in Sr-GME. Unit cell with a, b-axis in red and green, respectively, looking down in c.
GME proved to be thermally stable (e.g., at 580°C). The stabilizing effect of K⁺ is not surprising as K⁺ fits into gme-cavities and/or its 8MR windows, and once there, could prevent the compression and bond-reforming needed for AFI formation (Figure 4B, AFI has no 8MR). K⁺-exchanged, but non-calcined samples showed a decreased pore volume, hinting to obstruction of the main 12MR channel. Calcination likely drives K⁺ into the side-cages and out of the 12MR pore system, as 0.15 cm³ g⁻¹ was obtained for calcined K⁺-CIT-9 (Figure S13). Similar findings have been shown for LTL zeolites. The second approach to an open-GME was based on a method by Huo et al. This relies on slowly calcining a slurry of the organic-filled GME with a hyper-concentrated KCl salt. This procedure works for CIT-9 and leads to a stable, porous K⁺-GME in one step (0.15 cm³ g⁻¹, Figures S14–S16).

CIT-9 can be made with Si/Al ratios up to 4.0 (EDX; see Sections 3.2 and 3.4 in the Supporting Information). In contrast, synthetic Sr- and dabco-GME, and gmelinite have a molar Si/Al in the range of 2.0–3.0 (Table S4). This difference, up to about one aluminum less per unit cell, potentially improves its stability. Si-NMR confirmed the Si/Al differences between GME materials as the CIT-9 peaks are clearly shifted to the right, to lower ppm values (Figures S17–S20). Also, it is more difficult to prepare the cationic polymer based on 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (dabco), and its cost likely excludes commercial-scale implementation. CIT-9, in contrast, is made with a simple organic from precursor molecules common in the amine industry. CIT-9 can be made with Si/Al ratios up to 4.0 (EDX; see Sections 3.2 and 3.4 in the Supporting Information). In conclusion, a new GME-zeolite has been discovered by using a facile and cost-effective route. The synthesis involves a very sensitive reaction system which either produces the channel-based GME or cage-based AEI zeolite when only using a cis-isomer. The former is promoted by high concentration of the OSDA, likely inducing its close line-up in the 12MR channel. The dilute conditions lead to OSDA included in an AEI cage. Molecular modelling provides a possible explanation for the synthesis results as the cis-isomer showed a seemingly perfect fit in the main channel of the GME zeolite. Compared to all existing GME materials, CIT-9 has less Al, a higher pore volume, and no stacking faults or disorder. These key features are crucial when considering the GME zeolite topology for catalytic applications. At high temperature, the GME framework transforms into AFI unless K⁺-exchanged. The mechanisms of this phase-change were elucidated for the first time and occurs at 280–330°C.
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